

State KU-Algebras

Javad Golzarpoor*, Saeed Mehrshad

Faculty of Sciences, University of Zabol, Zabol, Iran

Corresponding author's e-mail: javad_golzarpoor@uoz.ac.ir

Article Information

Received: 07 June 2023
Revised: 09 December 2023
Accepted: 11 December 2023
Published online: 25 September 2024

Keywords

λ -Commutative
 λ -Distributive
KU-algebra
State operator

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of state on KU-algebras and prove some of their properties. Also, we analyze the relationship of their mapping with KU-substructures.

© 2024 University of Zabol. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Logical algebras have become the keen interest for researchers in recent years and intensively studied under the influence of different mathematical concepts. KU-algebra is a new algebraic structure introduced by Prabpayak and Leerawat [1]. Mostafa et al. in 2011 studied KU-algebra in fuzzy context and studied fuzzy KU-ideals of KU-algebras [2]. Recently, Ansari and Koam [3] introduced the concept of roughness in KU-algebras. Koam et al. [4] introduced a pseudo-metric on KU-algebras. Senapati and Shum defined Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy bi-normed KU-ideals of a KU-algebra [5]. Flaminio and Montagna were the first to present a unified approach to state and probabilistic many-valued logic in a logical and algebraic setting [6]. They added a unary operation, called internal state or state operator to the language of MV-algebras, which preserves the usual properties of states. State on BL-algebras was introduced and investigated by Iampan [7]. The state on BCK-algebras was defined and studied by Mostafa et al. in 2015 [8]. In Section 2, some basic definitions are presented. In Section 3, the state KU-algebra is defined. Some basic examples and theorems will be presented.

The λ -commutative KU-algebras are determined, and it will be shown that if (A, λ) is a λ -commutative KU-algebra in which $\lambda(A)$ is closed under \vee and \wedge , then $\lambda(A)$ is a distributive lattice with respect to the operations \vee and \wedge .

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

2.1 Definition

[7] An algebra $(A; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is a KU-algebra if for all $x, y, z \in A$, the following conditions hold:

$$(KU1) (y * x) * ((x * z) * (y * z)) = 0.$$

$$(KU2) 0 * x = x.$$

$$(KU3) x * 0 = 0.$$

(KU4) If $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$, then $x = y$. A binary relation \leq in A is defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$, for all $x, y \in A$.

2.2 Theorem

[7] An algebra $(A, \cdot, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is a KU-algebra if and only if for all $x, y, z \in A$, the following conditions hold:

$$(1) (y \cdot x) \cdot ((x \cdot z) \cdot (y \cdot z)) = 0.$$

$$(2) x \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot y) = 0.$$

$$(3) x \cdot x = 0.$$

$$(4) x \cdot 0 = 0.$$

(5) If $x \cdot y = 0$ and $y \cdot x = 0$, then $x = y$.

2.3 Example

[8] Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and let \circ be defined as the following table:

\circ	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	3	4	5
2	1	1	3	4	5
3	1	2	1	4	4
4	1	1	3	1	3
5	1	1	1	1	1

It is easy to see that X is a KU-algebra.

In what follows, let $(X, 0, 1)$ denote a KU-algebra, unless otherwise specified. For brevity, we also call X a KU-algebra. The element 1 of X is called constant which is the fixed element of X .

Partial order \leq in X is defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y \circ x = 1$.

2.4 Proposition

[7] If $(A; *, 0)$ is a KU-algebra, then the following properties hold:

$$(5) \text{ For any } x, y, z \in A; z * (y * x) = y * (z * x).$$

$$(6) \text{ For any } x, y \in A; x * ((x * y) * y) = 0.$$

$$(7) \text{ For any } x, y \in A; (x * y) * [((x * y) * y) * y] = 0.$$

$$(8) \text{ For any } x, y, z \in A; x \leq x.$$

$$(9) \text{ If } x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq x, \text{ then } x = y.$$

$$(10) \text{ If } x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq z, \text{ then } x \leq z.$$

$$(11) \text{ If } x \leq y, \text{ then } z * x \leq z * y.$$

- (12) If $x \leq y$, then $y * z \leq x * z$.
- (13) For any $x, y \in A$; $x \leq y * x$.
- (14) For any $x, y \in A$; $x \leq y * y$.

2.5 Definition

A nonempty subset Y of a KU-algebra K is called a subalgebra of K if for all $x, y \in Y$, we have $x \circ y \in Y$.

A KU-algebra K is said to be commutative if for all $x, y \in K$, we have $(x \circ y) \circ y = (y \circ x) \circ x$.

2.6 Definition

Let $(A; *, 0)$ be a KU-algebra. A nonempty subset I of A is called an ideal if

- (1) $0 \in I$,
- (2) $x * y \in I$ for any $x, y \in A$.

2.7 Definition

An ideal I of a KU-algebra K is called a commutative ideal if for all $x, y \in I$ we have

$$(y \circ x) \in I \Rightarrow ((x \circ y) \circ y) \circ x \in I.$$

2.8 Example

([3]): Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and let \circ be defined as follows:

\circ	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	1	1	3	3	5	6
3	1	1	1	2	5	6
4	1	1	1	1	5	6
5	1	1	1	2	1	6
6	1	1	2	1	1	1

Clearly $(X, 0, 1)$ is a KU-algebra. It is easy to show that $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ are KU-ideals of X .

2.9 Definition

Let $(A; *, 0)$ be a KU-algebra.

- (i) The KU-algebra A is self-distributive if $x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z)$ for any $x, y, z \in A$.
- (ii) The KU-algebra A is a commutative if $(x * y) * y = (y * x) * x$ for any $x, y, z \in A$.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Definition

Let $(A; *, 0)$ be a KU-algebra. A mapping $\lambda: A \rightarrow A$ is called a state operator on $(A; *, 0)$ if it satisfies the following properties for all $x, y, z \in A$;

- (SO1) $x * y = 0$ implies $\lambda(x) * \lambda(y) = 0$;
- (SO2) $\lambda(x * y) = \lambda((x * y) * y) \lambda(y)$;

(SO3) $\lambda(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = \lambda(x) * \lambda(y)$.

3.2 Lemma

Let (A, λ) be a stat KU-algebra. Then

- (1) $\lambda(0) = 0$;
- (2) $\lambda(\lambda(x)) = \lambda(x)$;
- (3) $\lambda(x * y) \leq \lambda(x) * \lambda(y)$;
- (4) $\text{Ker}(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}(\{0\})$ is an ideal.
- (5) $\lambda(X) = \{\lambda(x) \mid x \in X\}$ is a subalgebra of X .
- (6) $\text{Ker}(\lambda) \cap \text{Im}(\lambda) = \{0\}$.

3.3 Example

Let X be a nonempty set. Define a binary operation $*$ on the $P(X)$, the power set of X , by putting $A * B = B \setminus A$ for all $A, B \in P(X)$. Then $(P(X); *, \emptyset)$ is a KU-algebra. The mapping $Id_{P(X)} : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ defined by $Id_{P(X)}(A) = A$ for all set $A \subset X$ is a state operator on $P(X)$.

Proof. **SO1** and **SO3** are trivial.

SO2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda((A * B) * B) * \lambda(B) &= B \setminus (B \setminus [B \setminus A]) \\ &= B \setminus A = A * B = \lambda(A * B). \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Example

Let $(A; *, 0)$ be a KU-algebra. Then the mapping $Id_X : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $Id_X(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$ is a state operator on X .

Proof. **SO1** and **SO3** are trivial.

SO2. Note that by proposition 2.3 for all $x, y \in X$, we have $x \leq (x * y)$. Therefore $((x * y) * y) \leq (x * y)$. On the other hand, $(x * y) * [(x * y) * y] = ((x * y) * y) * ((x * y) * y) = 0$.

Thus $x * y \leq ((x * y) * y) * y$. Therefore, $x * y = ((x * y) * y) * y$.

3.5 Lemma

Let (A, λ) be a state commutative KU-algebra. For all $x, y \in A$, if $x \leq y$, then $\lambda(y * x) = \lambda(y) * \lambda(x)$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (y * x) &= \lambda((y * x) * x) * \lambda(x) \\ &= \lambda((x * y) * y) * \lambda(x) = \lambda(0 * y) * \lambda(x) = \lambda(y) * \lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

3.6 Definition

A state KU-algebra (A, λ) is called

- (i) λ -Commutative if $(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) = (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)$,
- (ii) λ -Distributive if $\lambda(x) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))$,
- (iii) λ -Transitive if $(\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) \leq (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))$,

for any $x, y, z \in A$.

It is easy to see that a state KU-algebra (A, λ) is λ -commutative if $\lambda(x) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(x)$ for any $x, y \in A$.

3.7 Proposition

Every λ -commutative state KU-algebra is λ -transitive.

Proof. Let (A, λ) be a λ -commutative state KU-algebra. Let $x, y, z \in A$. If set $\alpha = \lambda(x)$, $\beta = \lambda(y)$, and $\gamma = \lambda(z)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta * \gamma) * ((\alpha * \beta) * (\alpha * \gamma)) &= (\alpha * \beta) * ((\beta * \gamma) * (\alpha * \gamma)) = (\alpha * \beta) * (\alpha * ((\beta * \gamma) * \gamma)) \\ &= (\alpha * \beta) * (\alpha * ((\gamma * \beta) * \beta)) = (\alpha * \beta) * ((\gamma * \beta) * (\alpha * \beta)) \\ &= (\gamma * \beta) * ((\alpha * \beta) * (\alpha * \beta)) = (\gamma * \beta) * 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda(y) * \lambda(z) \leq (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))$, thus (A, λ) is λ -transitive.

3.8 Proposition

Every λ -distributive state KU-algebra is λ -transitive.

Proof. Let (A, λ) be a λ -distributive state KU-algebra. Then for $x, y, z \in A$.

$$\lambda(y) * \lambda(z) \leq \lambda(x) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)).$$

Hence, (A, λ) is λ -transitive.

3.9 Proposition

A state KU-algebra (A, λ) is λ -commutative if and only if for all $x, y \in A$,

$$(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) \leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x).$$

Proof. It is obvious that this condition is necessary. Conversely, let $x, y \in A$,

$$(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) \leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x). \text{ By interchanging } x \text{ and } y, \text{ we have}$$

$$(\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x) \leq (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y). \text{ Hence for all } x, y \in X, (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) = (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x).$$

Therefore (X, λ) is a λ -commutative state KU-algebra.

3.10 Remark

Let (A, λ) be a state KU-algebra. If $x \leq y$, then $\lambda(z) * \lambda(x) \leq \lambda(z) * \lambda(y)$ and $\lambda(y) * \lambda(z) \leq \lambda(x) * \lambda(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Thus A is called ordered KU-algebra with respect to λ .

3.11 Remark

Let A be a KU-algebra and let λ be a state operator on A . If A is self-distributive (commutative), then (A, λ) is λ -distributive (λ -commutative).

3.12 Proposition

Let (A, λ) be a state KU-algebra. If λ is surjective and (A, λ) is λ -distributive (λ -commutative), then A is self-distributive (commutative) KU-algebra.

3.13 Lemma

Let (A, λ) be a λ -transitive KU-algebra. Then for all $x, y, z \in A$, it holds that

$$\lambda(x) * \lambda(y) \leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)] * [(\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))] &= [\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)] * [(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))] \\ &= [\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)] * [(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(z)] \\ &= \lambda(x) * [(\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))] \\ &= \lambda(x) * 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\lambda(x) * \lambda(y) \leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))$.

3.14 Proposition

Let (A, λ) be a state KU-algebra with respect to λ . Then for any $x, y, z \in A$, it holds that

$$(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) \leq \lambda(x) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z))$$

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in A$. Then $\lambda(y) \leq \lambda(x) * \lambda(y)$. Hence

$$(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) \leq \lambda(y) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)). \text{ Therefore } (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) \leq \lambda(x) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)).$$

3.15 Proposition

Let (A, λ) be a state KU-algebra. Then for all $x, y \in A$, it holds that

$$((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) = \lambda(x) * \lambda(y).$$

Proof. For any x, y , consider

$$\lambda(x) * ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = 0.$$

Hence $\lambda(x) \leq (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)$. By Remark 3.10, we have $[(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)] * (\lambda(y) \leq \lambda(x) * \lambda(y))$.

Again, consider

$$(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * [((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)] * \lambda(y) = ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) * ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) = 0.$$

Hence $(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) \leq ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)$. Therefore, $(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)$, for all $x, y \in A$.

3.16 Theorem

A λ -commutative KU-algebra is λ -distributive if and only if it satisfies the following condition for all $x, y \in A$:

$$\lambda(x) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = \lambda(x) * \lambda(y).$$

Proof. Let (A, λ) be a λ -commutative state KU-algebra. Let $x, y, z \in A$. By the proof of Proposition 3.7, we have

$\lambda(y) * \lambda(z) \leq (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))$. Hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) &\leq \lambda(x) * ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))) \\ &= (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z))) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by treating $a = \lambda(x)$; $b = \lambda(y)$ and $c = \lambda(z)$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} ((a * b) * (a * c)) * (a * (b * c)) &= ((a * b) * (a * c)) * (b * (a * c)) = b * (((a * b) * (a * c)) * (a * c)) \\ &= b * (((a * c) * (a * b)) * (a * b)) = ((a * c) * (a * b)) * (b * (a * b)) \\ &= ((a * c) * (a * b)) * (a * (b * b)) = ((a * c) * (a * b)) * (a * 0) \\ &= ((a * c) * (a * b)) * 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) \leq \lambda(x) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z))$, and hence

$$(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) = \lambda(x) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)).$$

Therefore, (X, λ) is λ -distributive. Conversely, assume that (A, λ) is λ -distributive. Then

$$\lambda(x) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in A.$$

Putting $x = y$, it yields that

$$\lambda(x) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(x)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = 0 * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = \lambda(x) * \lambda(y).$$

3.17 Example

The Example 3.3 is a λ -implicative KU-algebra.

$$\text{Note that } (\lambda(A) * \lambda(B)) * \lambda(A) = A \setminus (B \setminus A) = A = \lambda(A).$$

Thus $(P(X), \text{Id}_{P(X)})$ is λ -implicative.

3.18 Proposition

Every λ -distributive and λ -commutative state KU-algebra is λ -implicative.

Proof. Let (A, λ) be a λ -distributive and λ -commutative state KU-algebra. Let $x, y, z \in A$. Clearly we have

$\lambda(x) \leq (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) \lambda(x)$. Again, we get the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x) &= (\lambda(x) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y))) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(x)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y))) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) \\ &= (0 * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y))) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(x)) \leq \lambda(x)$. Thus $((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(x)) = \lambda(x)$.

Therefore, (A, λ) is a λ -implicative state KU-algebra.

3.19 Proposition

Every λ -implicative state KU-algebra is λ -commutative.

Proof. Let (X, λ) be a λ -implicative state KU-algebra and let $x, y \in A$. Since (A, λ) is λ -implicative,

$$(\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y) = \lambda(y). \text{ Hence } \lambda(x) * ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) = 0,$$

which implies that $\lambda(x) \leq ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y))$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x) &\leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(x)) * ((\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y)) \\ &= 0 * ((\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y)) = (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x) \leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y)$. Interchanging x and y , we get $(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) \leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)$.

Thus $(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) = (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Therefore (A, λ) is a λ -commutative KU-algebra.

3.20 Theorem

Suppose (A, λ) is a state KU-algebra with respect to λ . Then for all $x, y \in A$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) (A, λ) is λ -commutative;
- (2) $x \leq y$ implies $\lambda(y) = (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)$;
- (3) $(\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x) = (((\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Assume that (A, λ) is λ -commutative. Suppose $x \leq y$. Then $\lambda(x) * \lambda(y) = 0$. Hence we have

$$\lambda(y) = 0 * \lambda(y) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) = (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Assume the condition (2). Since $\lambda(y) \leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)$, by condition (2), we have

$$(\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x) = (((\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y).$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Assume condition (3) holds. Let $x, y \in A$. It follows from condition (3) that

$$(\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x) = (((\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y).$$

Since $\lambda(x) \leq (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)$, so

$$((\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y) \leq \lambda(x) * \lambda(y).$$

Hence

$$(\lambda(x) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) \leq (((\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(y)) * \lambda(y) = (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x).$$

Thus by Proposition 3.19, we get (A, λ) is λ -commutative.

3.21 Theorem

A state KU-algebra (A, λ) is λ -commutative if and only if the following equality holds:

$$\text{For any } x, y, z \in A, (\lambda(z) * \lambda(x)) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)),$$

Proof. Assume that (A, λ) is λ -commutative. Let $x, y, z \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(z) * \lambda(x)) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) &= \lambda(y) * ((\lambda(z) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x)) = \lambda(y) * ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) * \lambda(z)) \\ &= (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)) \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, for all $x, y \in A$, let

$$(\lambda(z) * \lambda(x)) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(x)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) * (\lambda(y) * \lambda(z)).$$

Putting $y = 0$ yields that

$$(\lambda(z) * \lambda(x)) * (\lambda(0) * \lambda(x)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) * (\lambda(0) * \lambda(z)). \text{ Hence } (\lambda(z) * \lambda(x)) * (0 * \lambda(x)) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) * (0 * \lambda(z)).$$

Therefore $(\lambda(z) * \lambda(x)) * \lambda(x) = (\lambda(x) * \lambda(z)) * \lambda(z)$. As a result, (A, λ) is λ -commutative.

3.22 Theorem

Let (A, λ) be a state KU-algebra. Suppose that $\lambda(A)$ is closed with respect to \vee . (A, λ) is λ -commutative if and only if $(\lambda(A), \leq)$ is an upper semi lattice with \vee .

Proof. Since $\lambda(b) \leq (\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a)$ and $\lambda(a) \leq (\lambda(a) * \lambda(b)) * \lambda(b)$, we have $(\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a)$ is an upper bound of $\lambda(a)$ and $\lambda(b)$ for any $a, b \in A$. Let $\lambda(c)$ be any upper bound of $\lambda(a)$ and $\lambda(b)$. Since $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(c)$, we get

$$\lambda(c) = 0 * \lambda(c) = \lambda(0) * \lambda(c) = (\lambda(a) * \lambda(c)) * \lambda(c) = (\lambda(c) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a).$$

Also, $\lambda(b) \leq \lambda(c)$. We obtain $(\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a) \leq (\lambda(c) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a) = \lambda(c)$.

Hence $(\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a) \leq \lambda(c)$ and $(\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a)$ must be least upper bound of $\lambda(a)$ and $\lambda(b)$. Conversely, assume that $(\lambda(A), \leq)$ is an upper bound semi-lattice satisfying $\lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b) = (\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a)$ for all $a, b \in A$; therefore $(\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a) = \lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b) = \lambda(b) \vee \lambda(a) = (\lambda(a) * \lambda(b)) * \lambda(b)$.

Thus, (A, λ) is λ -commutative.

3.23 Proposition

Let (A, λ) be a λ -commutative state KU-algebra and let $\lambda(A)$ be closed with respect to \vee . Then the following properties hold for all $a, b, c \in A$:

- (1) $\lambda(a) * (\lambda(b) \vee \lambda(c)) = (\lambda(c) * \lambda(b)) * (\lambda(a) * \lambda(b))$.
- (2) If $a \leq b$, then $\lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b) = \lambda(b)$.
- (3) If $c \leq a$ and $\lambda(a) * \lambda(c) \leq \lambda(b) * \lambda(c)$, then $\lambda(b) \leq \lambda(a)$.

Proof. (1)- Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then

$$\lambda(a) * (\lambda(b) \vee \lambda(c)) = \lambda(a) * ((\lambda(c) * \lambda(b)) * \lambda(b)) = (\lambda(c) * \lambda(b)) * (\lambda(a) * \lambda(b)).$$

(2)- Let $a \leq b$. Then $\lambda(a) * \lambda(b) = 0$. Hence

$$\lambda(b) = 0 * \lambda(b) = (\lambda(a) * \lambda(b)) * \lambda(b) = (\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a) = \lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b).$$

(3)- Let $c \leq a$ and let $\lambda(a) * \lambda(c) \leq \lambda(b) * \lambda(c)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(b) * \lambda(a) &= \lambda(b) * (0 * \lambda(a)) = \lambda(b) * ((\lambda(c) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a)) = \lambda(b) * ((\lambda(a) * \lambda(c)) * \lambda(c)) \\ &= (\lambda(a) * \lambda(c)) * (\lambda(b) * \lambda(c)) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lambda(b) \leq \lambda(a)$.

Let (A, λ) be a λ -commutative state KU-algebra. If λ is surjective or λ is a KU-morphism, then $\lambda(x)$ is closed with respect to \vee . Finally, we present some condition for which a λ -commutative state KU-algebra will be a distributive lattice with respect to the operations \vee and some suitable \wedge operator.

3.24 Theorem

Let (A, λ) be a λ -commutative state KU-algebra and let $\lambda(A)$ be closed with respect to \vee . If there is a lower bound $\lambda(a)$ of $\lambda(x)$ and $\lambda(y)$, then the greatest lower bound $\lambda(x) \wedge \lambda(y)$ of $\lambda(x)$ and $\lambda(y)$ exists and

$$\lambda(x) \wedge \lambda(y) = ((\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a))) * \lambda(a).$$

Proof. let $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(x), \lambda(y)$. Clearly $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(x) \wedge \lambda(y)$. Since $\lambda(x) * \lambda(a) \leq (\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a))$, we have

$$[(\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a))] * \lambda(a) \leq (\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a) = \lambda(a) \vee \lambda(x) = \lambda(x).$$

Similarly, we have $[(\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a))] * \lambda(a) \leq \lambda(y)$.

Hence $[(\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a))] * \lambda(a)$, which is a lower bound of $\lambda(x)$ and $\lambda(y)$. Suppose that $\lambda(b)$ is another lower bound of $\lambda(x)$ and $\lambda(y)$, that is, $\lambda(b) \leq \lambda(x), \lambda(y)$.

Hence $\lambda(x) * \lambda(a) \leq \lambda(b) * \lambda(a)$ and $\lambda(y) * \lambda(a) \leq \lambda(b) * \lambda(a)$. Thus $(\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a)) \leq \lambda(b) * \lambda(a)$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(b) &\leq \lambda(b) \vee \lambda(a) = \lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b) \\ &= (\lambda(b) * \lambda(a)) * \lambda(a) \leq [(\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a))] * \lambda(a).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $[(\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a))] * \lambda(a)$ is the greatest lower bound of $\lambda(x)$ and $\lambda(y)$.

Therefore, $\lambda(x) \wedge \lambda(y) = [(\lambda(x) * \lambda(a)) \vee (\lambda(y) * \lambda(a))] * \lambda(a)$.

3.25 Corollary

Let (A, λ) is a λ -commutative state KU-algebra and let $\lambda(A)$ be closed with respect to \vee . If the lower bound exists for every two elements of $\lambda(A)$, then $(\lambda(A), \vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice.

3.26 Corollary

Let (A, λ) is a λ -commutative state KU-algebra and let $\lambda(A)$ be closed with respect to \vee . If there exists a lower bound for $\lambda(A)$, that is, there exists $a \in X$ such that $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in A$, then $(\lambda(A), \vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice.

3.27. Proposition

Let (A, λ) be a λ -commutative state KU-algebra and let $\lambda(A)$ be closed with respect to \vee . Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then the following conditions holds:

- (1) $(\lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b)) * \lambda(c) = (\lambda(a) * \lambda(c)) \wedge (\lambda(b) * \lambda(c))$;
- (2) $(\lambda(a) \wedge \lambda(b)) * \lambda(c) = (\lambda(a) * \lambda(c)) \vee (\lambda(b) * \lambda(c))$.

Proof: it is obvious.

4. Conclusions

We introduced the concept of state on KU-algebras. Also, we analyzed the relationship of their mapping with KU-substructures. A state KU-algebra $\lambda(A)$ is closed with respect to \vee . Moreover, (A, λ) is λ -commutative if and only if $(\lambda(A), \leq)$ is an upper semi lattice with \vee . Finally, if there exists a lower bound for $\lambda(A)$, that is, there exists a $\epsilon \in X$ such that $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in A$, then $(\lambda(A), \vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding this article.

References

1. Prabhayak C, Leerawat U. On ideals and congruences in KU-algebras. *Sci. Magna*. 2009, 5(1):54-57.
2. Mostafa SM, Abd-Elnaby MA, Yousef MM. Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras. *Int. Math. Forum* 2011, 6(63):3139-3149.
3. Ansari MA, Koam AN. Rough approximations in KU-algebras. *Italian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 2018, 40:679-691.
4. Koam AN, Haider A, Ansari MA. Pseudo-metric on KU-algebras. *Korean J. Math.* 2019, 27(1):131-40.
5. Senapati T, Shum KP. Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy bi-normed KU-idals of a KU-algebra. *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* 2016, 30(2):1169-1180.
6. Flaminio T, Montagna F. MV-algebras with internal states and probabilistic fuzzy logics. *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* 2009, 50:138-152.
7. Iampan A. New Branch of The logical Algebraic UP-Algebra. *J. Alg. Rel. Top.* 2017, 5(1):35-54.
8. Mostafa SM, Youssef B, Jad HA. Coding theory applied to KU-algebras. *J. New Theory* 2015, 6:43-53.

How to cite this article: Golzarpoor J, Mehrshad S. State KU-Algebras. *Curr. Appl. Sci.* 2024, 2(2):91-100. <https://doi.org/10.22034/cas.2022.346056.1021>